

COMPARISON OF SMALL-VOLUME CONVENTIONAL MECHANICAL VENTILATION VS. HIGH-FREQUENCY OSCILLATION ON THE LUNG FUNCTIONS IN A MODEL OF MECONIUM ASPIRATION SYNDROME

Tomcikova Mikusiakova L, Pistekova H, Kosutova P, Mikolka P, Calkovska A, Mokra D

Department of Physiology, Comenius University in Bratislava, Jessenius Faculty of Medicine in Martin, Martin, Slovakia

Meconium aspiration syndrome (MAS) in neonates may be treated by exogenous surfactant, antiinflammatory drugs or pulmonary vasodilators, however, suitable mechanical ventilation is fundamental for treatment. This study compared short-term effects of small-volume conventional mechanical ventilation (CMV) and high-frequency oscillation (HFO) on the lung functions of animals with experimentally-induced MAS. In conventionally-ventilated rabbits, MAS was induced by intratracheal instillation of meconium suspension (4 ml/kg, 25 mg/ml). When the MAS model was prepared, animals were ventilated either with small-volume CMV (f. 50/min, V_T 6 ml/kg) or HFO (f. 10 Hz) for following 4 hours. Blood gases, ventilatory pressures and right-to-left pulmonary shunts were evaluated in regular time intervals. After sacrificing animals, left lung was saline-lavaged and cells in the bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid were determined. Right lung was used for estimation of lung edema formation (wet/dry weight ratio) and for determination of inflammatory markers. Meconium instillation significantly worsened gas exchange, and induced inflammation and lung edema formation. Within 4 hours of the ventilatory treatment, no significant differences in oxygenation, ventilatory pressures, pulmonary shunts, number of BAL cells, or edema formation were observed between small-volume CMV and HFO. HFO improved arterial pH and elimination of carbon dioxide compared to CMV, however, slightly lower concentrations of thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances (TBARS) were detected in plasma and lung homogenates of CMV-ventilated animals. Concluding, both small-volume CMV and HFO ventilations supplied effective gas exchange, with better elimination of carbon dioxide in HFO and slightly lower lipid peroxidation in small-volume CMV. **Support:** APVV-0435-11, VEGA 1/0305/14, BioMed (ITMS 26220220187)